

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|--|------------------------------|----------------------|------------------------|------------------|
| 10/510,532 | 10/07/2004 | Gerd Ascher | IB/G-32439A | 8872 |
| GERD ASCHE | 7590 01/25/200 E R | EXAMINER | | |
| DAXERFELD 3 | | | CLAYTOR, DEIRDRE RENEE | |
| KUNDL, 6250 AUSTRIA | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | 1617 | |
| | | | | |
| SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD OF RESPONSE | | MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE | |
| 31 DAYS | | 01/25/2007 | PAPER | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

| | | Application No. | Applicant(s) |
|---|--|--|---|
| Office Action Summary | | 10/510,532 | ASCHER ET AL. |
| | | Examiner | Art Unit |
| | | Renee Claytor | 1617 |
| Period fo | The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply | ears on the cover sheet wi | th the correspondence address |
| WHIC - External after - If NC - Failu Any | ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DANSIONS of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | ATE OF THIS COMMUNIC 36(a). In no event, however, may a revill apply and will expire SIX (6) MON, cause the application to become AB | CATION. eply be timely filed THS from the mailing date of this communication. ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). |
| Status | | | |
| 2a) <u></u> | Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>07 Octoors</u> This action is FINAL . 2b) This Since this application is in condition for allower closed in accordance with the practice under E | action is non-final. | - |
| Dispositi | on of Claims | | |
| 5) □ 6) □ 7) □ 8) ⊠ Applicati 9) □ 10) □ | Claim(s) 1-7 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) 1-7 are subject to restriction and/or electric description. On Papers The specification is objected to by the Examine and the drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access applicant may not request that any objection to the description. Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine and the correction of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine and the correction of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine and the correction of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine and the correction of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine and the correction of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine and the correction of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine and the correction of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine and the correction of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine and the correction of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine and the correction of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine and the correction of the oath or declaration is objected to be the correction of the oath or declaration is objected to be the correction of the oath or declaration is objected to be the correction of the oath or declaration is objected to be the oath or declaration of the oath or declaration | ection requirement. r. epted or b) objected to todrawing(s) be held in abeyantion is required if the drawing(| ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). (s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). |
| Priority u | ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119 | · | · |
| a)[| Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau see the attached detailed Office action for a list of | s have been received. s have been received in Apity documents have been (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). | pplication No received in this National Stage |
| 2) | e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date | Paper No(s | ummary (PTO-413))/Mail Date formal Patent Application |

Art Unit: 1617

DETAILED ACTION

Priority

This is a National Stage Entry of PCT/EP03/04173, filed on 4/22/2003 which claims priority to UK Application # 0209262.5 filed on 4/23/2002. Applicant's priority is acknowledged.

Election/Restrictions

This application contains claims directed to more than one species of the generic invention. These species are deemed to lack unity of invention because they are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1. Currently claims 1-7 are generic to a plurality of patentably distinct species of:

- 1. pleuromutilin agents.
- 2. diseases listed in claim 7.

The above listed species are distinct as to the different pleuromutilin agents of all have different chemical structures lending to different pharmacological action of each one. In addition, each disease listed has different symptomology and treatment regimens. Further, the recited species acquire a separate status, because they can be classified in different classification. Different classification of species is *prima facie* evidence of undue burden of search.

Applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect **one** pleuromutilin agent and **one** disease to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. The reply must also identify the claims readable on the elected species,

Application/Control Number: 10/510,532

Art Unit: 1617

including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered non-responsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Art Unit: 1617

Applicant is advised that in order for the reply to this requirement to be complete it must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Because the above specie election is complex, a telephone to the applicant's agent to request an oral election was not made. See MPEP § 812.01.

Contact Information

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Renee Claytor whose telephone number is 571-272-8394. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:00-4:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Sreeni Padmanabhan can be reached on 571-272-0629. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/510,532

Art Unit: 1617

Page 5

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Renee Claytor

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER